UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/901,592	07/11/2001	William Holm	0104-0354P 7653	
	7590 12/31/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 22040 0747	NGUYEN, DONGHAI D		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3729		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/901,592	HOLM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DONGHAI D. NGUYEN	3729		

DOI	NGHAI D. NGUYEN	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replie application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (w for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 periods:	ame day as filing a Notice of As: (1) an amendment, affidavitith appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandoni c, or other evidence, which with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a	places the Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the	final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	y Action, or (2) the date set forth i an SIX MONTHS from the mailing NLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection. FIRST REPLY WAS FILED V	VITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensio under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount oned statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropriate ex nally set in the final Office acti	tension fee on; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within tAMENDMENTS	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the app	
		20 m a t 15 m a m t a m a t 15 m a m a	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr (a) They raise new issues that would require further conside (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 			e
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better fo appeal; and/or	rm for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying the iss	sues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	on attached Notice of Non Cor	mpliant Amondment (PTOI	324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ripliant Amendment (FTO)	324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowab non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendment car	nceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an explan	ation of
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,19,20,31,34 and 37-44</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffi			
 was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and 	me <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails to p	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·	, ,, ,	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	s NOT place the application in	condition for allowance be	ecause:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO: 13. Other:	(SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Donghai D. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3729	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that "there is nothing in the Todd et al reference to suggest that the adhesive dispenser is a non-contact dispenser" (see "Remarks" page 10, last paragraph). The Examiner disagrees because Todd et al disclose a drop, "the quantity of fluid that falls in one spherical mass" (see Marriam-Webster dictionary) of the adhesive is dispensed via automated equipment as discribed in Col. 3, lines 13-17 that means the adhesive is dropped, "non-contact dispensed" on the substrate.

Applicants argue that Osamu also fails to disclose "non-contact dispensing" (see "Remarks" page 11, last paragraph). The Examiner disagrees because Fig. 5 of Osamu reference shows the cream solder (24) does not contact the substrate (18) and the nozzle (12) at the same time.

Applicants argue the references fail to disclose the add-on jetting is predetermined (see "Remarks" pages 14-15). The Examiner disagrees. The references disclose certain amount of viscous medium is add-on jetted on the substrate. Therefore, this certain amount must be determined (set, known in advance) before being added on the substrate.

DN December 23, 2008